

Thailand's E-Commerce Boom: Market-Entry Considerations for Retailers



Issariya Vimonrat
D: (66) 2089 8939
E: issariya@thanathippartners.com
V-Card



Klakawee Plemcharoen
D: (66) 2089 8945
E: klakawee@thanathippartners.com
V-Card

September 2026

Introduction

Online retail in Thailand has moved quickly from a supplementary sales channel to the primary point of purchase for a substantial proportion of consumers, driven by live-stream selling, in-app checkout and same-day delivery. Three marketplaces — Shopee, TikTok Shop and Lazada — together account for the majority of online consumer spending. For foreign retailers, the strategic question is not whether to establish a presence in Thailand, but which route of entry is most appropriate.

The route selected carries both legal and commercial consequences. Under Thai law, a foreign-owned business selling its own goods directly to consumers is treated differently from a foreign-owned operator of a marketplace connecting third-party sellers with buyers, and both are treated differently again depending on the capital committed to the venture. An entry strategy formulated without reference to this distinction risks being built around a licencing position the business does not, in fact, have.

Three Routes to Market

Most foreign entrants adopt one of three models, commonly characterised as build, borrow, or buy. The first, a directly operated storefront under the entrant's own brand, affords the greatest control over pricing, customer data and the customer relationship, but requires the entrant to assume responsibility for marketing, order fulfilment and regulatory compliance. The second, a marketplace partnership, involves listing on an established platform and relying on its existing traffic, payment infrastructure and logistics network. This is the fastest and least capital-intensive route to market, though it entails a degree of dependence on a counterparty that, in the case of Shopee, Lazada and TikTok Shop, is frequently also a competitor for customer attention and profits. The third, the acquisition of an existing Thai retailer or platform, offers the most immediate route to scale, at the cost of assuming the target's existing licensing position, seller contracts and data-handling practices.

Each of these routes sits differently under the Foreign Business Act, B.E. 2542 (1999) (the "FBA"), which is a key piece of legislation for foreigners looking to enter Thailand's retail market. A foreign-owned storefront selling its own goods directly to Thai consumers is ordinarily a restricted retail business under the FBA, requiring either a Foreign Business Licence (an "FBL") or a recognised exemption. Operating a marketplace that connects third-party sellers with buyers does not fall outside the FBA, but engages it under a different head: because the operator supplies an intermediary platform service rather than selling the goods itself, the activity is

generally treated as a restricted service business under List Three of the FBA, which likewise requires an FBL or an exemption, and which separately requires notification to the Electronic Transactions Development Agency. Both models are therefore restricted, but in different ways. For this reason, the commercial and legal decisions around ownership and entry model should be treated as a single exercise at the outset, rather than as sequential ones later. Resolving these questions before capital is committed to premises, logistics or headcount reduces the risk of subsequent changes to the business's ownership, licensing and operating arrangements.

Considerations at Launch

First, consumer protection requirements governing advertising, pricing and returns apply from the first sale. These arise principally under the Consumer Protection Act, B.E. 2522 (1979) and, depending on the sales model, the Direct Sales and Direct Marketing Act, B.E. 2545 (2002). The latter regulates businesses that engage in direct marketing to consumers at a distance and may require registration with the Office of the Consumer Protection Board before the relevant business activities commence. Whether an online retail business falls within the direct marketing regime should therefore be assessed as part of its launch planning. These requirements should be reflected in the business's advertising, pricing, sales and returns processes from the outset.

Second, businesses that collect personal data from Thai customers fall within the scope of the Personal Data Protection Act, B.E. 2562 (2019). Appropriate privacy notices, lawful bases for processing, consent mechanisms where required, and data-handling procedures should therefore be established as part of the business's initial operating framework.

Conclusion

None of the foregoing suggests that Thailand presents an unusually difficult regulatory environment. Rather, it is a market in which the sequencing of commercial and legal decisions carries particular significance. Retailers and platforms that perform well are typically not those that move fastest into premises or fulfilment arrangements, but those that resolve the ownership and licensing questions at the outset, enabling the business to be structured correctly from day one.

This update is intended solely to provide general information on recent regulatory and enforcement developments in Thailand and does not constitute legal advice or a legal opinion. Specific legal advice should be sought in relation to individual circumstances.